

ARTHUR MELLOWS VILLAGE COLLEGE



EXAMS NON-EXAMINATION ASSESSMENT POLICY

Presented to:

Governors: Curriculum and Standards Committee 20 February 2018

Date approved:1	20 February 2018
Date reviewed: ²	20 February 2018
Date of next review: ³	Spring 2021

¹ This is the date the policy was approved by the meeting

² This is the date the policy was reviewed prior to its approval above

³ This is the date as set by the policy review clause or the date approved plus two years

1.0 What does this policy affect?

1.1 This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

"The regulator's definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not 'externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions' is classified as non-examination assessment. Non-examination Assessment therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as non-examination assessment."

Definition taken directly from the JCQ publication "Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments". This publication is further referred to in this policy.

2.0 Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments (see Appendix A)

3.0 What are non-examination assessments?

"Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking."

4.0 Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

4.1 Overview

- 4.1.1 The centre is Arthur Mellows Village College
- 4.1.2 The Head of College is the Head of Centre

4.1.3 HEAD OF CENTRE

- Ensures that the centre's non-examination assessment policy is fit for purpose
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internally assessed marks

4.1.4 SENIOR LEADERS

 Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments and comply with awarding body subject-specific instructions

4.1.5 HEAD OF DEPARTMENT / FACULTY

- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.
- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures non-examination assessment and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments
- Works with the Quality Assurance Lead/Lead Internal Verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

4.1.6 SUBJECT TEACHER

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in nonexamination assessment
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the Exams Officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

4.1.7 EXAMS OFFICER

- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

4.2 <u>Task setting</u>

4.2.1 SUBJECT TEACHER

- Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

4.3 Issuing of tasks

4.3.1 SUBJECT TEACHER

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

4.4 Task taking

4.4.1 SUPERVISION - SUBJECT TEACHER

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents "Information for candidates non-examination assessments" and "Information for candidates Social Media"
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents "Information for candidates"

4.4.2 ADVICE AND FEEDBACK – SUBJECT TEACHER

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

4.4.3 RESOURCES - SUBJECT TEACHER

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

4.4.4 WORD AND TIME LIMITS - SUBJECT TEACHER

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

4.4.5 COLLABORATION AND GROUP WORK - SUBJECT TEACHER

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

4.4.6 AUTHENTICATION PROCEDURES - SUBJECT TEACHER

- Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in non-examination assessment and informs the Exams Officer

4.4.7 PRESENTATION OF WORK - SUBJECT TEACHER

- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in non-examination assessment unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

4.4.8 KEEPING MATERIALS SECURE - SUBJECT TEACHER

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in non-examination assessment
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means
- Liaises with the IT Manager to ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

4.4.9 KEEPING MATERIALS SECURE - IT MANAGER

 Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically

4.5 Task marking – externally assessed components

4.5.1 CONDUCT OF EXTERNALLY ASSESSED WORK - SUBJECT TEACHER

- Liaises with the Exams Officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

4.5.2 CONDUCT OF EXTERNALLY ASSESSED WORK – EXAMS OFFICER

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication "Instructions for conducting examinations"

4.5.3 SUBMISSION OF WORK - SUBJECT TEACHER

Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

4.5.4 SUBMISSION OF WORK – EXAMS OFFICER

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

4.6 Task marking – internally assessed components

4.6.1 MARKING AND ANNOTATION - SUBJECT TEACHER

- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed in a timely manner to enable an internal appeal to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

4.6.2 INTERNAL STANDARDISATION - HEAD OF DEPARTMENT / FACULTY

 Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence

4.6.3 INTERNAL STANDARDISATION – SUBJECT TEACHER

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards

4.6.4 SUBMISSION OF MARKS AND WORK FOR MODERATION - HEAD OF DEPARTMENT / FACULTY

- Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded to the external deadline/provides marks to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/provides the moderation sample to the Exams Officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subjectspecific information where this may be required

4.6.5 SUBMISSION OF MARKS AND WORK FOR MODERATION – EXAMS OFFICER

- Ensure teaching staff input and submit marks online via the awarding body secure extranet sites. Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body by the deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/confirms with subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - o moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

4.6.6 STORAGE AND RETENTION OF WORK AFTER SUBMISSION OF MARKS - SUBJECT TEACHER

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a backup procedure in place
- Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

4.6.7 STORAGE AND RETENTION OF WORK AFTER SUBMISSION OF MARKS – EXAMS OFFICER

 Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

4.6.8 EXTERNAL MODERATION - FEEDBACK - SUBJECT HEAD/LEAD

 Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

4.6.9 EXTERNAL MODERATION - FEEDBACK - EXAMS OFFICER

- · Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

4.7 <u>Access arrangements</u>

- 4.7.1 The SENCo will inform subject teachers of candidates with special educational needs and any special arrangements that individual candidates will need during the course and in any assessments/exams.
- 4.7.2 A candidate's access arrangements requirement is determined by the SENCo.
- 4.7.3 Ensuring there is appropriate evidence for a candidate's access arrangement is the responsibility of the SENCo.
- 4.7.4 Submitting completed access arrangement applications to the awarding bodies is the responsibility of the SENCo/Exams Officer.
- 4.7.5 Rooming for access arrangement candidates will be arranged by the Exams Officer.
- 4.7.6 Invigilation and support for access arrangement candidates, as defined in the JCQ access arrangements regulations, will be organised by the Exams Officer/SENCo.

4.8 Special consideration

- 4.8.1 Should a candidate be unable to attend an exam because of illness, suffer bereavement or other trauma, be ill or otherwise disadvantaged or disturbed during an exam, then it is the candidate's responsibility to alert the centre's Exams Officer to that effect.
- 4.8.2 The candidate must support any special consideration claim with appropriate evidence within 3 days of the exam.
- 4.8.3 The Exams Officer will make a special consideration application to the relevant awarding body within 3 days of the exam.

4.9 Malpractice

4.9.1 HEAD OF CENTRE

 The Head of Centre in consultation with the Exams Officer is responsible for investigating suspected malpractice.

4.9.2 SUBJECT TEACHER

- Is aware of the JCQ "Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work"
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document "Information for candidates nonexamination assessments"
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document "Information for candidates -Social Media"

4.9.3 EXAMS OFFICER

- Signposts the JCQ publication "Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures" to the Head of Centre
- Signposts the JCQ "Notice to Centres Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work" to Subject Heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- Where required, supports the Head of Centre in investigating and reporting incidents of suspected malpractice

4.10 Enquiries about results

4.10.1 HEAD OF CENTRE

Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedures (see Exams Policy) clearly detail
the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against
a centre decision not to support an enquiry about results request or not supporting an
appeal following the outcome of an enquiry about results

4.10.2 HEAD OF DEPARTMENT / FACULTY

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

4.10.3 SUBJECT TEACHER

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the Exams Officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- Supports the Exams Officer in collecting candidate consent where required

4.10.4 EXAMS OFFICER

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication "Post Results Services, Information and guidance for centres"
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- Collects candidate consent where required

4.11 Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

4.11.1 HEAD OF CENTRE

 Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities

4.11.2 HEAD OF DEPARTMENT / FACULTY

- Confirms understanding of the "Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England"
- Ensures a response is provided to JCQ indicating which awarding body the centre intends to use for its entries in A Level Biology, Chemistry and Physics (in Autumn 2016)
- Undertakes training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

4.11.3 SUBJECT TEACHER

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

4.11.4 EXAMS OFFICER

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment

4.12 Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

4.12.1 HEAD OF CENTRE

 Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

4.12.2 HEAD OF DEPARTMENT / FACULTY

- Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments
- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

4.12.3 SUBJECT TEACHER

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

4.12.4 EXAMS OFFICER

 Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

5.0 Review

5.1 The Governing Body (or responsible committee) will review this policy in line with the procedure for policy review.

5.2 <u>Date for Review</u>

If no other reason for review (see policy review procedure) this policy will be reviewed in Spring 2021.

Appendix A – Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
Task setting	
Awarding body set task: IT	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to
failure/corruption of task details	start of course
where set task details accessed	IT systems checked prior to key date
from the awarding body online	Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details
Centre set task: Subject teacher	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information,
fails to meet the assessment	practice materials etc.
criteria as detailed in the	Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting
specification	arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification
	Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task
Candidates do not understand	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the
the marking criteria and what	specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or
they need to do to gain credit	group of candidates is produced for candidates
	Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria
	Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at
absence during the task setting	key points in the exam cycle
stage Issuing of tasks	
Task for legacy specification	Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between
given to candidates undertaking	requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new
new specification	specifications
•	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved
Awarding body set task not	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification
issued to candidates on time	noted prior to start of course
	Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will
	be issued and needs to be completed by
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and
The wrong took is given to	teaching Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's
The wrong task is given to candidates	specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates
carididates	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at
absence during the issuing of	key points in the exam cycle
tasks stage	,, p
Task taking	
Supervision	
Planned assessments clash	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course
with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar
Rooms or facilities inadequate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the
for candidates to take tasks	start of the course
under appropriate supervision	Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of
	candidates
	Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time
Insufficient supervision of	(exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other
authenticated	specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to
	the supervision of candidates
	Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed
	in the centre's non-examination assessment policy

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate

Advice and feedback	
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up
Candidate moves to another centre during the course An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made
Resources	separately for the candidate
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions

	,
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc.
acknowledge sources on work	is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and
that is submitted for assessment	audio/visual resources
	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate
	should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources
	appropriately
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body
	guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body
Mand and time a limite	for the candidate
Word and time limits	Decords confirm the assertion had sometime to be a people of to
A candidate is penalised by the	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to
awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from
word or time limits	exceeding them
Collaboration and group work	exceeding them
Candidates have worked in	Awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is
groups where the awarding	permitted
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved
not permitted	g a cay gamamaca caagaa mada aana aada tamama amadanad
Authentication procedures	,
A teacher has doubts about the	Subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing
authenticity of the work	assessment material and candidates' work
submitted by a candidate for	Candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for
internal assessment	candidates: non-examination assessments
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment
Candidate plagiarises other	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body
material	
Candidate does not sign their	Candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for
authentication	candidates: non-examination assessments
statement/declaration	Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate
Subject teacher not available to	for formal assessment
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality
sign admentication forms	assurance procedures
Presentation of work	assurance procedures
Candidate does not fully	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the
complete the awarding body's	work of a candidate for formal assessment
cover sheet that is attached to	
their worked submitted for	
formal assessment	
Keeping materials secure	
Candidates work between	Subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions
formal supervised sessions is	for conducting non-examination assessments
not securely stored	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure
	storage
Adequate secure storage not	Adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the
available to subject teacher	start of the course
	Alternative secure storage sourced where required
Task marking – externally asses	
A candidate is absent on the	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment
day of the examiner visit for an	arrangements can be made for the candidate
acceptable reason	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted
A candidate is absent on the	to the awarding body where appropriate The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register
day of the examiner visit for an	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register
unacceptable reason	
anaccopiacio rodocir	

Task marking – internally asses	ssed components
A candidate submits little or no	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent
work	when marks are submitted to the awarding body
	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against
	the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work
	does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to
	the awarding body
A candidate is unable to finish	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special
their work for unforeseen reason	consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to
	be followed for shortfall in work
The work of a candidate is lost	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special
or damaged	consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to
-	be followed for lost or damaged work
Candidate malpractice is	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for
discovered	conducting non-examination assessments are followed
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication
	Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed
	Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed
A teacher marks the work of	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher
his/her own child	is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course
	Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the
	sample requested or not
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted
submission of marks is required	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special
for a legitimate reason	consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to
G	be followed for non-examination assessment extension
After submission of marks, it is	Awarding body is contacted for guidance
discovered that the wrong task	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special
was given to candidates	consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to
G	be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates
A candidate wishes to appeal	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work
the marks awarded for their	prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body
work by their teacher	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the
•	awarding body's moderation process
	Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to the
	submission of marks
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the
	centre's internal appeals procedures for submitting an appeal prior to the
	submission of marks to the awarding body
Deadline for submitting work for	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of
formal assessment not met by	the course
candidate	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to
	determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding
	body's deadline for submitting marks can be met
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted
	late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the
	candidate
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year
and samples of candidates work	Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines
ignored by subject teacher	approach
	Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed
Subject teacher long term	See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key
absence during the marking	points in the exam cycle)